Glacier Journal of Scientific Research
Feb 26 Issue I Vol 1
ISSN:2349-8498

Herding Behaviour in Stock Markets: A Theoretical Analysis

First author - Dr. Shubhra Jain
Second author - Prof. Hanuman Prasad

Abstract

Herding behaviour represents a significant deviation from rational decision-making in financial markets, where investors imitate others rather than relying on independent analysis. This paper examines the psychological foundations, theoretical models, and market implications of herding behaviour. Drawing on behavioural finance literature and empirical evidence, the analysis reveals that herding arises from informational cascades, reputational concerns, and psychological biases. While herding can serve adaptive functions in uncertain environments, it contributes to excessive volatility, asset bubbles, and systemic risk. The paper concludes with implications for investors, regulators, and future research.

Keywords: herding behaviour, behavioural finance, market efficiency, informational cascades, stock markets

Introduction

Traditional finance theory assumes investors are rational agents who make independent decisions based on available information (Fama, 1970). However, empirical research has documented systematic patterns of collective behaviour contradicting this framework, suggesting investors frequently follow the crowd rather than conducting independent analysis.

Herding behaviour—the tendency to mimic others' actions—has emerged as one of the most pervasive phenomena in financial markets (Bikhchandani & Sharma, 2000). When herding occurs, investment decisions become correlated because investors observe and imitate each other, not because they receive similar information. This collective behaviour drives prices away from fundamental values, creates excessive volatility, and contributes to market crashes.

The significance of herding extends beyond academic interest. The 2008 global financial crisis, the dot-com bubble, and the GameStop trading frenzy all exhibit characteristics of herding-driven dynamics. Understanding herding mechanisms, manifestations, and consequences is essential for investors, regulators, and policymakers concerned with market stability and systemic risk.

This paper provides a comprehensive theoretical analysis of herding behaviour in stock markets, addressing three central questions: What mechanisms drive herding? How does herding manifest across different conditions? What are the implications for market efficiency and stability?

Literature Review

Defining Herding Behaviour

Herding in financial markets occurs when investors suppress their own beliefs and information to follow the perceived collective wisdom of other participants (Devenow & Welch, 1996). This involves intentional imitation where investors observe market actions and consciously choose to follow the crowd.

Bikhchandani and Sharma (2000) distinguished "spurious herding"—where investors independently make similar decisions when responding to common information—from true herding involving behavioural deviation from rational independent decision-making. They further categorized intentional herding (deliberate imitation) versus unintentional herding (correlated behaviour due to similar information), and investigative herding (rationally following better-informed investors) versus non-investigative herding (blind imitation).

Theoretical Foundations

Informational Cascade Theory

Bikhchandani et al. (1992) developed influential informational cascade theory explaining sequential herding. In their model, individuals decide sequentially, observing predecessors' actions but not their private information. When early decision-makers take particular actions, subsequent individuals may rationally ignore their own signals and follow the crowd, inferring superior information.

The model predicts that cascades can lead to inefficient outcomes when early decisions are based on incorrect signals. Cascades are fragile—small amounts of public information can cause rapid reversals. Timing matters critically, as early movers disproportionately influence subsequent behaviour regardless of actual information quality.

Avery and Zemsky (1998) extended this model to financial markets with price-setting market makers. They demonstrated that informational cascades are less likely with flexible prices, as market makers adjust prices based on order flow. However, herding can still occur when market makers face uncertainty about informed versus uninformed trader distributions.

Reputation-Based Models

Scharfstein and Stein (1990) proposed that herding arises from reputational concerns among investment managers. Fund managers prefer making similar mistakes to the crowd rather than unique errors signaling low competence. When all managers fail together following conventional strategies, individual incompetence is less exposed than failing alone with unconventional approaches.

Graham (1999) extended this framework, showing managers may intentionally delay acting on information to observe others first, leading to trade bunching and correlated behaviour. Trueman (1994) applied this logic to financial analysts, demonstrating analysts may bias forecasts toward consensus to protect reputations.

Compensation-Based Models

Maug and Naik (1996) argued that compensation structures in institutional investment create herding incentives. When managers are evaluated on relative performance against benchmarks, following the crowd becomes rational. Deviating from consensus carries asymmetric risks: unique success provides limited upside relative to unique failure risk.

Chevalier and Ellison (1999) found that mutual fund managers with shorter track records exhibit greater herding, consistent with career risk concerns driving younger manager behaviour.

Psychological Foundations

Social Proof and Conformity

Cialdini (2007) identified social proof—viewing behaviour as correct when many others perform it—as a fundamental psychological principle. In uncertain situations, individuals assume others possess more knowledge, leading to imitation.

Asch (1951) showed individuals conformed to obviously incorrect group judgments in approximately one-third of trials. In financial markets where uncertainty is pervasive, social proof becomes particularly influential as investors infer that others' actions reflect superior knowledge (Banerjee, 1992).

Regret Aversion

Loomes and Sugden (1982) developed regret theory explaining how anticipated regret influences decisions. Investors experience greater regret from unique losses than shared losses. Following the crowd and failing produces less regret than making independent incorrect decisions while others succeed (Bell, 1982).

Cognitive Biases

Kahneman and Tversky (1979) documented systematic probability judgment biases contributing to herding. The availability heuristic leads investors to overweight recent, vivid information. During market uptrends, success stories become highly available, creating perceptions that "everyone is making money," attracting additional investors and reinforcing herding.

Overconfidence causes investors to overestimate judgment reliability (Odean, 1998). Observing others' success can increase confidence that similar strategies will succeed, creating self-reinforcing cycles where initial success attracts imitators whose purchases drive further price increases.

Types of Herding

Institutional Herding

Lakonishok et al. (1992) pioneered research on institutional herding, defining it as correlated trading by institutions in the same stocks during identical periods. Their analysis revealed

significant herding, particularly in small-capitalization stocks with greater information asymmetry.

Wermers (1999) documented that institutional herding is most pronounced during high volatility periods and for stocks with recent extreme returns. Institutions simultaneously buy past winners and sell past losers, suggesting momentum-based herding.

Sias (2004) distinguished investigative herding—institutions following others presumed to have superior information—from non-investigative herding driven by behavioural factors. Evidence suggested investigative herding dominates for large institutions, while smaller institutions engage more in non-investigative imitation.

Retail Investor Herding

Barber and Odean (2008) showed individual investors exhibit attention-driven trading, disproportionately buying stocks catching attention through news, extreme returns, or high volume. Kumar and Lee (2006) documented that retail sentiment exhibits strong common components, with investors becoming collectively optimistic or pessimistic.

Social media has amplified retail herding. The GameStop episode exemplified coordinated retail action organized through online forums (Barber et al., 2022), representing new digitally-facilitated herding challenging traditional understanding.

International Herding

Chiang and Zheng (2010) analysed herding in 18 countries during 1988-2009, finding evidence in both advanced and emerging markets. Herding intensified during crises when uncertainty peaked. Emerging markets exhibit stronger herding than developed markets, reflecting greater information asymmetry and higher uncertainty (Demirer & Kutan, 2006).

Empirical Evidence

Measurement Methodologies

Cross-Sectional Standard Deviation (CSSD) Method

Christie and Huang (1995) introduced the CSSD method examining dispersion of individual asset returns around market returns. Herding suppresses dispersion as investors move together, causing returns to cluster near the market average.

Chang et al. (2000) refined this with the Cross-Sectional Absolute Deviation (CSAD) method, testing for nonlinear relationships between dispersion and market returns. A negative coefficient in their specification indicates herding, showing dispersion increases less than proportionally during extreme movements.

Portfolio Change Measures

Lakonishok et al. (1992) developed measures for detecting institutional herding based on quarterly portfolio holdings. Their herding measure identifies when the proportion of institutions buying particular stocks exceeds what random trading would generate. Wermers

(1999) introduced adjusted versions accounting for stock characteristics, distinguishing intentional herding from correlated trading driven by shared investment styles.

Evidence from Global Markets

Developed Markets

Christie and Huang (1995) found no evidence of market-wide herding during extreme return periods in U.S. stocks from 1962-1988. However, institutional-level analyses reveal significant herding among professionals. Lakonishok et al. (1992) documented herding among pension fund managers, particularly for small-cap stocks.

Nofsinger and Sias (1999) showed institutional herding has price impacts, with collectively purchased stocks experiencing positive subsequent returns, suggesting herding may reflect information aggregation rather than purely behavioural factors.

European markets display varied patterns. Chiang and Zheng (2010) found herding in several European markets during the 2008 crisis, particularly strong in Southern European countries. Economou et al. (2011) analyzed the European debt crisis, finding herding intensified dramatically in Greece, Portugal, and peripheral countries.

Emerging Markets

Emerging markets consistently demonstrate stronger herding. Demirer and Kutan (2006) analyzed 22 emerging markets, documenting significant herding, particularly in East Asian and Latin American markets. Several factors explain elevated emerging market herding: greater information asymmetry, less mature market development, higher retail investor participation, and more frequent crises (Chiang & Zheng, 2010).

Tan et al. (2008) analyzed Shanghai and Shenzhen exchanges, finding significant herding during both rising and falling markets. Chang et al. (2000) found Taiwan and South Korea exhibited stronger herding than the United States or Hong Kong.

Herding During Crises

Crisis periods consistently exhibit elevated herding. Uncertainty amplification during crises increases reliance on others' actions as information signals (Chiang & Zheng, 2010). Liquidity pressures force coordinated selling regardless of fundamental beliefs, creating "fire sale" dynamics (Brunnermeier & Pedersen, 2009).

Chari and Kehoe (2004) showed herding creates contagion, spreading crises across markets. During crises, investors reassess risks in different markets after observing problems elsewhere, triggering coordinated selling that spreads crashes internationally.

Consequences of Herding Behaviour

Market Efficiency Implications

Herding has profound implications for market efficiency—the extent to which prices reflect available information and fundamental values.

Price Discovery Disruption

When herding dominates, prices reflect collective sentiment rather than aggregated private information. Shiller (2000) argued herding creates "irrational exuberance" driving prices substantially above fundamentals, as occurred during the dot-com bubble. Froot et al. (1992) showed herding leads to excessive weight on short-term information at the expense of fundamental analysis.

De Long et al. (1990) demonstrated that positive feedback trading—a herding form—creates excess volatility as buying begets further buying, pushing prices beyond levels justified by fundamentals. Eventual reversals occur when price discrepancies become unsustainable.

Information Aggregation Failure

Efficient markets require diverse private information aggregation through trading. Herding disrupts this as investors suppress private information to follow the crowd (Bikhchandani et al., 1992). Informational cascade theory predicts small amounts of early information can dominate large amounts of subsequent information when cascades form.

Volatility and Return Patterns

Excess Volatility

De Long et al. (1990) demonstrated positive feedback trading creates excess volatility—price fluctuations larger than justified by fundamental changes. Empirical research confirms herding's contribution. Chang et al. (2000) found herding is associated with greater subsequent volatility. Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2009) showed herding can create volatility spirals during crises.

Return Predictability

Herding creates return predictability patterns as coordinated trading drives prices away from fundamentals, setting up reversals. Herding contributes to momentum—the tendency for strong recent performers to continue outperforming near-term (Jegadeesh & Titman, 1993). Eventually, herding-driven distortions correct, creating reversals. De Bondt and Thaler (1985) documented extreme past performers tend to reverse subsequently.

Asset Bubbles and Crashes

Herding's most dramatic consequence is creating asset bubbles and subsequent crashes. Bubbles occur when prices rise far above fundamentals, sustained by optimism and continued buying.

Bubble Formation

Shiller (2000) described how herding creates self-fulfilling bubbles through positive feedback loops. Initial increases attract attention and new investors. As more buy, prices rise further, attracting additional buyers in reinforcing cycles. Abreu and Brunnermeier (2003) showed rational investors may participate despite recognizing overvaluation, hoping to profit before crashes.

Griffin et al. (2013) analysed the 2008 mortgage bubble, documenting institutional herding into increasingly risky securities. Brunnermeier and Nagel (2004) found hedge funds rode the technology bubble, buying overvalued stocks rather than shorting them.

Crash Dynamics

Herding intensifies during crashes as investors collectively rush to exit. Shiller (1987) studied the 1987 crash, finding investor psychology and imitation played crucial roles. Many reported selling because others were selling, with limited fundamental analysis.

The 2008 crisis exemplified herding-driven crashes. As mortgage market problems emerged, herding caused indiscriminate financial asset selling regardless of actual exposures. Coordinated selling created liquidity spirals and forced fire sales spreading the crisis globally (Brunnermeier & Pedersen, 2009).

Systemic Risk

Herding contributes to systemic risk—the possibility that problems at individual institutions spread throughout the financial system.

Network Effects

Modern markets feature dense institutional interconnections through trading relationships and common exposures. Herding amplifies risks transmitted through these networks as institutions simultaneously reduce exposures or withdraw funding (Cont & Wagalath, 2016). When herding causes widespread selling, resulting declines affect all institutions holding those assets.

Pro-Cyclical Risk Management

Danielsson et al. (2004) demonstrated modern risk management creates herding incentives. When institutions use similar models and respond to volatility increases by reducing exposures, coordinated deleveraging results. This pro-cyclical behaviour amplifies market stress as institutions simultaneously sell when prices fall and volatility rises.

Policy and Investment Implications

For Regulators

Market Stability Concerns

Regulators must address herding's contribution to systemic risk. Macroprudential policies include countercyclical capital buffers requiring institutions to build larger buffers during expansions, reducing pressure to collectively deleverage during crises (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2010).

Circuit breakers and trading halts represent direct interventions to disrupt herding cascades during extreme volatility. By pausing trading when prices move dramatically, these mechanisms provide time for independent reassessment (Securities and Exchange Commission, 2012).

Information Disclosure

Enhanced disclosure requirements can mitigate information asymmetry driving herding. When investors possess better fundamental information, they rely less on others' actions as signals. Requirements for timely, comprehensive disclosure and investor education programs aim to improve information environments (Admati & Pfleiderer, 2000).

For Investment Professionals

Contrarian Strategies

Contrarian investing—taking positions opposite the crowd—can profit from herding-driven price distortions. When herding drives prices excessively high, contrarians sell or short. When panic selling creates undervaluation, contrarians buy (Lakonishok et al., 1994). Success requires accurate identification of herding-driven mispricing distinguished from efficient repricing based on fundamentals.

Momentum Strategies

Alternatively, investors can exploit herding by following momentum—buying recent winners and selling recent losers. Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) documented momentum strategies generate significant returns over 3-12 month horizons, likely reflecting herding sustaining trends beyond fundamental justification. However, momentum strategies carry substantial crash risk when herding reverses (Barroso & Santa-Clara, 2015).

Independent Analysis

Investment professionals should maintain rigorous analytical processes and explicit investment theses independent of market sentiment. Documenting reasoning before decisions helps resist herding pressure. However, complete immunity is unrealistic even for sophisticated professionals given reputational concerns and career risk.

For Individual Investors

Passive Investing Benefits

For most individual investors, passive investing through diversified index funds provides protection against herding pitfalls. By automatically holding broad market portfolios, passive investors avoid temptation to chase trends or panic during downturns (Malkiel, 2003).

Investment Policy Statements

Individual investors should develop written investment policy statements specifying asset allocation targets, rebalancing rules, and decision criteria before facing actual market conditions. This ex-ante commitment helps resist herding pressure during emotional market periods (Statman, 1999). Regular rebalancing provides structured contrarian discipline.

Education and Awareness

Financial education emphasizing behavioural biases can help investors recognize susceptibility to following the crowd. Understanding market extremes often reflect herding rather than fundamental changes can promote scepticism during bubbles and panics (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). However, education alone provides limited protection, requiring complementary structural commitments like automatic investment plans.

Future Research Directions

Emerging Technologies

Social media platforms enable unprecedented retail investor coordination, fundamentally altering herding dynamics. The GameStop episode demonstrated organized retail investors can generate significant market impact (Barber et al., 2022). Future research should examine how digital communication affects herding frequency, intensity, and consequences.

Algorithmic trading and AI-driven strategies create new herding possibilities. When algorithms use similar signals or training data, coordinated trading may emerge absent human biases. The 2010 Flash Crash illustrated how algorithmic interactions can create cascading disruptions (Kirilenko et al., 2017).

Cryptocurrency markets provide natural laboratories for studying herding given high volatility, limited fundamental anchors, and heavy retail participation. Preliminary evidence suggests strong cryptocurrency herding (Bouri et al., 2019).

Cross-Market Analysis

Most herding research focuses on equities, but herding likely occurs across asset classes. Investors increasingly hold diversified portfolios spanning multiple assets. Herding may occur at the asset allocation level as investors collectively shift between asset classes based on macro themes rather than individual asset analysis.

Globalization creates interconnected markets where herding in one region spreads internationally. Research should investigate international herding transmission mechanisms and whether cross-border herding has intensified with globalization.

Psychological Mechanisms

Neuroeconomics research examining brain activity during financial decision-making can illuminate herding mechanisms. Preliminary evidence suggests observing others' investment choices activates brain regions associated with social cognition and reward processing (Bault et al., 2011). Future research could identify neural markers of herding susceptibility.

Herding susceptibility likely varies across individuals based on personality, cognitive ability, and experience. Research should identify characteristics associated with herding resistance and develop screening tools to identify herding-prone investors.

Interventions

Behavioral interventions or "nudges" that preserve choice while altering decision contexts could mitigate herding without regulatory restrictions. Examples include disclosure highlighting consensus versus contrarian positions, cooling-off periods during extreme volatility, or decision aids encouraging independent analysis. Research should test which nudge designs most effectively reduce herding and whether effects persist over time.

Conclusion

Herding behaviour represents one of the most pervasive departures from rational decision-making in financial markets. This paper has synthesized theoretical frameworks, empirical evidence, and practical implications to develop comprehensive understanding of why herding occurs, how it manifests, and what consequences it generates.

Several key conclusions emerge. First, herding arises from multiple mechanisms—rational information cascades, reputational concerns, psychological biases, and institutional incentives—that interact to create coordinated behaviour across diverse investor types and market conditions. Second, herding is not uniformly detrimental; while it can drive bubbles, crashes, and excess volatility, it sometimes reflects efficient information aggregation or rational responses to uncertainty. Third, herding varies substantially across investor sophistication, market development, and temporal contexts.

For regulators, understanding herding is essential for designing policies maintaining market stability without impeding legitimate price discovery. For investment professionals, recognizing herding creates opportunities for contrarian or momentum strategies while highlighting risks of following the crowd. For individual investors, awareness provides motivation for disciplined passive investing and resistance to emotional market extremes.

Despite significant research progress, important questions remain. How are emerging technologies like social media, algorithmic trading, and artificial intelligence transforming herding dynamics? Do interventions exist that effectively reduce harmful herding while

preserving beneficial information aggregation? How do herding patterns differ across asset classes, markets, and cultures?

Ultimately, herding reflects fundamental features of human psychology interacting with financial market structures. Perfect elimination is neither feasible nor necessarily desirable. Instead, the goal should be understanding herding sufficiently to design markets, institutions, and policies that channel collective behaviour toward productive information aggregation while limiting destructive instability. As financial markets continue evolving, this understanding becomes increasingly vital for promoting individual financial welfare and systemic stability.

References

Abreu, D., & Brunnermeier, M. K. (2003). Bubbles and crashes. *Econometrica*, *71*(1), 173-204.

Admati, A. R., & Pfleiderer, P. (2000). Forcing firms to talk: Financial disclosure regulation and externalities. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *13*(3), 479-519.

Asch, S. E. (1951). Effects of group pressure upon the modification and distortion of judgments. In H. Guetzkow (Ed.), *Groups, leadership and men* (pp. 177-190). Carnegie Press.

Avery, C., & Zemsky, P. (1998). Multidimensional uncertainty and herd behavior in financial markets. *American Economic Review*, *88*(4), 724-748.

Banerjee, A. V. (1992). A simple model of herd behavior. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *107*(3), 797-817.

Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2008). All that glitters: The effect of attention and news on the buying behavior of individual and institutional investors. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *21*(2), 785-818.

Barber, B. M., Huang, X., Odean, T., & Schwarz, C. (2022). Attention-induced trading and returns: Evidence from Robinhood users. *The Journal of Finance*, *77*(6), 3141-3190.

Barroso, P., & Santa-Clara, P. (2015). Momentum has its moments. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *116*(1), 111-120.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2010). *Guidance for national authorities operating the countercyclical capital buffer*. Bank for International Settlements.

Bault, N., Joffily, M., Rustichini, A., & Coricelli, G. (2011). Medial prefrontal cortex and striatum mediate the influence of social comparison on the decision process. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(38), 16044-16049.

Bell, D. E. (1982). Regret in decision making under uncertainty. *Operations Research*, *30*(5), 961-981.

Bikhchandani, S., & Sharma, S. (2000). Herd behavior in financial markets. *IMF Staff Papers*, *47*(3), 279-310.

Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. *Journal of Political Economy*, *100*(5), 992-1026.

Bouri, E., Gupta, R., & Roubaud, D. (2019). Herding behaviour in cryptocurrencies. *Finance Research Letters*, *29*, 216-221.

Brunnermeier, M. K., & Nagel, S. (2004). Hedge funds and the technology bubble. *The Journal of Finance*, *59*(5), 2013-2040.

Brunnermeier, M. K., & Pedersen, L. H. (2009). Market liquidity and funding liquidity. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *22*(6), 2201-2238.

Chang, E. C., Cheng, J. W., & Khorana, A. (2000). An examination of herd behavior in equity markets: An international perspective. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *24*(10), 1651-1679.

Chari, V. V., & Kehoe, P. J. (2004). Financial crises as herds: Overturning the critiques. *Journal of Economic Theory*, *119*(1), 128-150.

Chevalier, J., & Ellison, G. (1999). Career concerns of mutual fund managers. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *114*(2), 389-432.

Chiang, T. C., & Zheng, D. (2010). An empirical analysis of herd behavior in global stock markets. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *34*(8), 1911-1921.

Christie, W. G., & Huang, R. D. (1995). Following the pied piper: Do individual returns herd around the market? *Financial Analysts Journal*, *51*(4), 31-37.

Cialdini, R. B. (2007). *Influence: The psychology of persuasion*. HarperCollins.

Cont, R., & Wagalath, L. (2016). Fire sales forensics: Measuring endogenous risk. *Mathematical Finance*, *26*(4), 835-866.

Danielsson, J., Shin, H. S., & Zigrand, J. P. (2004). The impact of risk regulation on price dynamics. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *28*(5), 1069-1087.

De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. (1985). Does the stock market overreact? *The Journal of Finance*, *40*(3), 793-805.

De Long, J. B., Shleifer, A., Summers, L. H., & Waldmann, R. J. (1990). Positive feedback investment strategies and destabilizing rational speculation. *The Journal of Finance*, *45*(2), 379-395.

Demirer, R., & Kutan, A. M. (2006). Does herding behavior exist in Chinese stock markets? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, *16*(2), 123-142.

Devenow, A., & Welch, I. (1996). Rational herding in financial economics. *European Economic Review*, *40*(3-5), 603-615.

Economou, F., Kostakis, A., & Philippas, N. (2011). Cross-country effects in herding behaviour: Evidence from four south European markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, *21*(3), 443-460.

Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The Journal of Finance*, *25*(2), 383-417.

Froot, K. A., Scharfstein, D. S., & Stein, J. C. (1992). Herd on the street: Informational inefficiencies in a market with short-term speculation. *The Journal of Finance*, *47*(4), 1461-1484.

Graham, J. R. (1999). Herding among investment newsletters: Theory and evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, *54*(1), 237-268.

Griffin, J. M., Nickerson, J., & Tang, D. Y. (2013). Rating shopping or catering? An examination of the response to competitive pressure for CDO credit ratings. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *26*(9), 2270-2310.

Jegadeesh, N., & Titman, S. (1993). Returns to buying winners and selling losers: Implications for stock market efficiency. *The Journal of Finance*, *48*(1), 65-91.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, *47*(2), 263-291.

Kirilenko, A., Kyle, A. S., Samadi, M., & Tuzun, T. (2017). The flash crash: High-frequency trading in an electronic market. *The Journal of Finance*, *72*(3), 967-998.

Kumar, A., & Lee, C. M. (2006). Retail investor sentiment and return comovements. *The Journal of Finance*, *61*(5), 2451-2486.

Lakonishok, J., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1992). The impact of institutional trading on stock prices. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *32*(1), 23-43.

Lakonishok, J., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1994). Contrarian investment, extrapolation, and risk. *The Journal of Finance*, *49*(5), 1541-1578.

Loomes, G., & Sugden, R. (1982). Regret theory: An alternative theory of rational choice under uncertainty. *The Economic Journal*, *92*(368), 805-824.

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *52*(1), 5-44.

Malkiel, B. G. (2003). The efficient market hypothesis and its critics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *17*(1), 59-82.

Maug, E., & Naik, N. (1996). Herding and delegated portfolio management: The impact of relative performance evaluation on asset allocation. *Unpublished working paper*, London Business School.

Nofsinger, J. R., & Sias, R. W. (1999). Herding and feedback trading by institutional and individual investors. *The Journal of Finance*, *54*(6), 2263-2295.

Odean, T. (1998). Volume, volatility, price, and profit when all traders are above average. *The Journal of Finance*, *53*(6), 1887-1934.

Scharfstein, D. S., & Stein, J. C. (1990). Herd behavior and investment. *American Economic Review*, *80*(3), 465-479.

Securities and Exchange Commission. (2012). *Concept release on equity market structure*. Release No. 34-61358.

Shiller, R. J. (1987). Investor behavior in the October 1987 stock market crash: Survey evidence. *NBER Working Paper No. 2446*.

Shiller, R. J. (2000). *Irrational exuberance*. Princeton University Press.

Sias, R. W. (2004). Institutional herding. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *17*(1), 165-206.

Statman, M. (1999). Behavioural finance: Past battles and future engagements. *Financial Analysts Journal*, *55*(6), 18-27.

Tan, L., Chiang, T. C., Mason, J. R., & Nelling, E. (2008). Herding behavior in Chinese stock markets: An examination of A and B shares. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, *16*(1-2), 61-77.

Trueman, B. (1994). Analyst forecasts and herding behavior. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *7*(1), 97-124.

Wermers, R. (1999). Mutual fund herding and the impact on stock prices. *The Journal of Finance*, *54*(2), 581-622.